

SYNTHESIS AND IN VITRO CYTOTOXICITY OF C(20)(RS)-CAMPTOTHECIN ANALOGUES MODIFIED AT BOTH B (OR A) AND E RING

Sang-sup Jew^{1*}, Myoung Goo Kim¹, Hee-Jin Kim¹, Eun-Young Rho¹, Hyeung-geun Park^{1*}, Joon-Kyum Kim², Hyun-Jung Han², and Heesoon Lee³

¹College of Pharmacy, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

²Chong Kun Dang Pharmaceutical Co. Seoul 152-070, Korea

³College of Pharmacy, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Chungbuk 361-763, Korea

Received 3 March 1998; accepted 2 June 1998

Abstract: A series of C(7) and C(20)-substituted camptothecin derivatives (12 - 14, 16 - 18) are prepared. Their syntheses and *in vitro* cytotoxicities are reported. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Camptothecin (C(20)(S)-1) is an alkaloid which was first isolated from chinese tree, Camptotheca acuminata¹. C(20)(S)-1 has been found to have a broad spectrum of antitumor activity², especially against human solid tumor. Mechanistic investigations have shown that the antitumor activity is associated with the inhibition of Topoisomerase I³ (Topo I) which is essential for the transcription of supercoiled DNA. The above unusual mechanism led to develop semisynthetic analogues, Irinotecan⁴ and Topotecan⁵ as new commercial antitumor drugs with less toxicity than camptothecin itself. Also the development of total synthetic methods made it possible to investigate the intensive structure-activity relationship studies. Based on the accumulated SAR studies, the introduction of polar groups at C(7), C(9) and C(10) on C(20)(S)-1 generally enhenced cytotoxicity. 2 is one of these compounds modified at A and B ring on C(20)(S)-1 with polar groups⁶. Recently, we have developed a series of C(7)-substituted C(20)(RS)-camptothecin analogues⁷ and C(20)(RS)-desethyl-20-substituted camptothecin analogues⁸. Among these derivatives, 3 and 4 showed significant antitumor activity compared with C(20)(S)-1 in each series of derivatives.

Based on the our previous SAR studies, we expected the antitumor activity could be maximized by combinational modification of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, or $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$. In this paper, the syntheses and *in vitro* cytotoxicities of C(20)(RS)-camptothecin analogues modified at both B (or A) and E ring are reported.

The syntheses of the analogues modified at both B and E ring (12 - 14) were accomplished in 5 steps starting from diol 5 which could be easily prepared by previous procedure^{7,8} (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1

Reagents: I) $Ac_2O / CH_2Cl_2 / pyridine / cat. DMAP., rt, 15 h (94%), ii) 80% TFA, rt, 4 h (89%), iii) 8/cat. p-TsOH/toluene, reflux, 5 h (65%)., iv) LiOH/MeOH/H₂O, rt, 6 h; then 1 N HCl (pH = 3), rt, 1 h (21%), v) MOMCl (or chloromethyl ethyl ether)/CH₂Cl₂/i-pr₂NEt, 0°C to rt, 40 - 44 h (10 (35%); 11 (52%)), vi) H₂, 10% Pd on C / AcOH, rt, 5 - 20 h (12 (31%); 13 (28%); 14 (34%)).$

The diacetylation of $\mathbf{5}$ with $\mathrm{Ac_2O}$ in pyridine gave $\mathbf{6}$, which was converted to $\mathbf{7}$ by deketalization with 80% trifluoroacetic acid. The Friedlander condensation of $\mathbf{7}$ with $\mathbf{8}^7$ followed by hydrolysis and relactonization provided $\mathbf{8}$. The treatment of $\mathbf{8}$ with MOMCl or chloromethyl ethyl ether in basic condition gave $\mathbf{10}$ and $\mathbf{11}$ respectively. Finally, the catalytic hydrogenation of $\mathbf{9}$ - $\mathbf{11}$ in acetic acid solvent gave $\mathbf{12}$ - $\mathbf{14}$ respectively. The other series of analogues ($\mathbf{16}$ - $\mathbf{18}$) were obtained from $\mathbf{7}$ (Scheme 2). The Friedlander condensation of $\mathbf{7}$ with amino ketone $\mathbf{15}^6$ followed by hydrolysis and relactonization provided $\mathbf{16}$. The ether formation was performed by the same method as Scheme 1 to get $\mathbf{17}$ and $\mathbf{18}$.

Scheme 2

Reagents: i) 15/cat. p-TsOH/toluene, reflux, 15 h; then LiOH/MeOH/H₂O, rt, 2 h; then 1 N HCl (pH = 3), rt, 3 h (38%), ii) MOMCl (or chloromethyl ethyl ether)/ CH_2Cl_2/i -pr₂NEt, 0°C to rt, 20 - 26 h (17 (10%); 18 (9%)),

Compd.	A172	DLD-1	CAOV-3	KATO-III	L1210
C(20)(S)-1	0.029	0.102	0.032	0.448	0.035
2	0.054	2.783	0.087	6.113	14.004
3	2.620	1.860	0.560	0.320	0.440
4	0.167	0.276	0.004	0.623	0.460
12	3.560	0.619	0.483	4.400	6.730
13	12.170	0.549	0.091	3.270	a
14	5.460	2.100	0.024	4.210	14.110
16	0.391	0.055	0.010	0.135	0.898
17	0.029	0.108	0.003	1.460	0.307
18	a	3.876	3.762	5.917	a

Table 1. In vitro Cytotoxicity⁹ of Camptothecin Analogues against Human Tumor Cell Lines¹⁰ (IC₅₀, μM).

In vitro cytotoxic activities against five human tumor cell lines for the above camptothecin analogues¹¹ along with C(20)(S)-1 are listed in Table 1. Generally, the both 7 and 20-substituted analogues (12 - 14) reduced the potency compared with 3 and 4. This result was not consistent with our strategy to improve the cytotoxic activity by modification of both B and E ring in camptothecin. We suspect the both modification is not favorable in the binding process because of steric hindrance. On the other hand, A and E ring modified derivatives (16 - 18) which were fixed with relatively small chloromethyl group at C(7) showed comparable cytotoxicity with 2, 3 and C(20)(S)-1. As shown in Table 1, 16 was 2 and 3 times more potent than C(20)(S)-1 in DLD-1 and KATO-III cell lines, respectively. Especially 16 and 17 showed 3- and 10-fold cytotoxicity in CAOV-3 cell line compared with C(20)(S)-1, respectively. In conclusion, the combinational modification of 2 and 3 enhanced the cytotoxicities compared with each 2, 3 except 18. Especially the 10 times higher cytotoxicity of 17 compared with C(20)(S)-1 in CAOV-3 cell line give us possible chance to develop specifically effective antitumor agent against ovarian cancer. Considering that these derivatives were racemate, optically active form of 16 and 17 would have even higher cytotoxicity. The study of chiral 16 and 17 is currently being investigated.

Acknowledgement: This research was supported by grants of the '96 Good Health R & D project from the Ministry of Health & Welfare, R. O. K. and the Research Center of New Drug Development of Seoul National University.

References and Notes:

- 1. Wall, M. E.; Wani, M. C.; Cook, C. E.; Palmer, K. H.; McPhail, A. T.; Sim, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 3888.
- 2. Gottilieb, J. A.; Luce, J. K. Cancer Chemother. Rep. 1972, 56, 103.

^aThe test was not performed.

- Hsiang, Y.-H.; Hertzberg, R. P.; Hecht, S.; Liu, L. F. J. Biol. Chem. 1985, 260, 14873. Hsiang, Y.-H.; Liu, L. F.; Wall, M. E.; Wani, M. C.; Nicholas, A. W.; Manikumar, G.; Kirschenbaum, S.; Silber, R.; Potmesil, M. Cancer Res. 1989, 49, 4385.
- 4. Sawada, S.; Okajima, S.; Aiyama, R.; Nokada, K.; Furuta, T.; Yokokura, T.; Sugino, E.; Yamanouchi, K.; Miyasaka, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1991, 39, 1446.
- Kingsbury, W. D.; Boehm, T. C.; Jakas, D. R.; Holden, K. G.; Hecht, S. M.; Gallagher, G.; Caranfa, M. J.;
 McCabe, F. L.; Faucette, L. F.; Johnson, R. K.; Hertzberg, R. P. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 98.
- a) Luzzio, M. J.; Besterman, J. M.; Emerson, D. L.; Evans, M. G.; Lackey, K.; Leitner, P. L.; McIntyre, G.; Morton, B.; Myers, P. L.; Peel, M.; Sisco, J. M.; Sternbach, D. D.; Tong, W.-Q.; Truesdale, A.; Uehling, D. E.; Vuong, A.; Yates, J. J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 395. b) Lackey, K.; Sternbach, D. D.; Croom, D. K.; Ermerson, D. L.; Evans, M. G.; Leitner, P. L.; Luzzio, M. J.; Mcintyre, G.; Vuong, A.; Yates, J.; Besterman, J. M. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 713.
- 7. Jew, S. S.; Kim, H. J.; Kim, M. G.; Roh, E. Y; Cho, Y. S.; Kim, J. K.; Cha, K. H.; Lee, K. K.; Han, H. J.; Choi, J. Y.; Lee, H. *Bioorg. & Med. Chem. Lett.* 1996, 6, 845.
- 8. Jew, S. S.; Kim, M. G.; Kim, H. J.; Roh, E. Y; Cho, Y. S.; Kim, J. K.; Cha, K. H.; Lee, K. K.; Han, H. J.; Lee, H. Bioorg. & Med. Chem. Lett. 1996, 6, 849.
- 9. Skehan, P.; Storeng, R.; Scudiero, D.; Monks, A.; McMahon, J.; Vistica, D.; Warren, J. T.; Bokesch, H.; Kenny, S.; Boyd, M. R. J. Natl. Canc. Inst. 1990, 82, 1107.
- 10. In vitro antiproliferative activities of the analogues against five tumor cell lines (A172 human CNS cancer; DLD-1, human colon cancer; CAOV-3, human ovarian cancer; KATO-III, human gastric cancer; L1210, mouse leukemia) were measured by SRB assay⁹ after 3 days of incubation and expressed as the doses required to inhibit the growth of 50 % of the cells cultivated (IC₅₀, μM).
- 11. All new compounds gave satisfactory spectroscopic data consistent with the proposed structures.